


NASA/TM-1998-206564



# **X-33 Integrated Test Facility Extended Range Simulation**

*Ashley Sharma  
Dryden Flight Research Center  
Edwards, California*

---

**October 1998**

## The NASA STI Program Office . . . in Profile

Since its founding, NASA has been dedicated to the advancement of aeronautics and space science. The NASA Scientific and Technical Information (STI) Program Office plays a key part in helping NASA maintain this important role.

The NASA STI Program Office is operated by Langley Research Center, the lead center for NASA's scientific and technical information. The NASA STI Program Office provides access to the NASA STI Database, the largest collection of aeronautical and space science STI in the world. The Program Office is also NASA's institutional mechanism for disseminating the results of its research and development activities. These results are published by NASA in the NASA STI Report Series, which includes the following report types:


- **TECHNICAL PUBLICATION.** Reports of completed research or a major significant phase of research that present the results of NASA programs and include extensive data or theoretical analysis. Includes compilations of significant scientific and technical data and information deemed to be of continuing reference value. NASA's counterpart of peer-reviewed formal professional papers but has less stringent limitations on manuscript length and extent of graphic presentations.
- **TECHNICAL MEMORANDUM.** Scientific and technical findings that are preliminary or of specialized interest, e.g., quick release reports, working papers, and bibliographies that contain minimal annotation. Does not contain extensive analysis.
- **CONTRACTOR REPORT.** Scientific and technical findings by NASA-sponsored contractors and grantees.
- **CONFERENCE PUBLICATION.** Collected papers from scientific and technical conferences, symposia, seminars, or other meetings sponsored or cosponsored by NASA.
- **SPECIAL PUBLICATION.** Scientific, technical, or historical information from NASA programs, projects, and mission, often concerned with subjects having substantial public interest.
- **TECHNICAL TRANSLATION.** English-language translations of foreign scientific and technical material pertinent to NASA's mission.

Specialized services that complement the STI Program Office's diverse offerings include creating custom thesauri, building customized databases, organizing and publishing research results . . . even providing videos.

For more information about the NASA STI Program Office, see the following:

- Access the NASA STI Program Home Page at <http://www.sti.nasa.gov>
- E-mail your question via the Internet to [help@sti.nasa.gov](mailto:help@sti.nasa.gov)
- Fax your question to the NASA Access Help Desk at (301) 621-0134
- Telephone the NASA Access Help Desk at (301) 621-0390
- Write to:  
NASA Access Help Desk  
NASA Center for AeroSpace Information  
7121 Standard Drive  
Hanover, MD 21076-1320

NASA/TM-1998-206564



# **X-33 Integrated Test Facility Extended Range Simulation**

*Ashley Sharma  
Dryden Flight Research Center  
Edwards, California*

National Aeronautics and  
Space Administration

Dryden Flight Research Center  
Edwards, California 93523-0273

---

**October 1998**

## NOTICE

Use of trade names or names of manufacturers in this document does not constitute an official endorsement of such products or manufacturers, either expressed or implied, by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

Available from the following:

NASA Center for AeroSpace Information (CASI)  
7121 Standard Drive  
Hanover, MD 21076-1320  
(301) 621-0390

National Technical Information Service (NTIS)  
5285 Port Royal Road  
Springfield, VA 22161-2171  
(703) 487-4650

# **X-33 INTEGRATED TEST FACILITY EXTENDED RANGE SIMULATION**

**Ashley Sharma**  
**National Aeronautics and Space Administration**  
**Dryden Flight Research Center**  
**Edwards, California**

## **ABSTRACT**

In support of the X-33 single-stage-to-orbit program, NASA Dryden Flight Research Center was selected to provide continuous range communications of the X-33 vehicle from launch at Edwards Air Force Base, California, through landing at Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana, or at Michael Army Air Field, Utah. An extensive real-time range simulation capability is being developed to ensure successful communications with the autonomous X-33 vehicle. This paper provides an overview of the various levels of simulation, integration, and test being developed to support the X-33 extended range subsystems. These subsystems include the flight termination system, L-band command uplink subsystem, and S-band telemetry downlink subsystem.

## **KEY WORDS**

X-33 Experimental Aircraft, Integration and Test Facility, Range Simulation, Dynamic Ground Station Analysis, Plasma Attenuation, Link Margin

## **NOMENCLATURE**

dB	Decibel
dBm	Decibel-milliwatt
DES	Data Enhancement System
DFRC	Dryden Flight Research Center
DGPS	Differential Global Positioning System
DGSA	Dynamic Ground Station Analysis
EIRP	Effective Isotropic Radiated Power
ER	Earth Research

FTS	Flight Termination System
GPIB	General Purpose Interface Bus
IF	Intermediate Frequency
IIP	Instantaneous Impact Prediction
ITF	Integration and Test Facility
LMCMS	Launch and Mission Control Monitoring System
NASA	National Aeronautical and Space Administration
NRZ-L	Non-return to Zero-Level
OCC	Operations Control Center
PCM	Pulse Code Modulation
PTP	Programmable Telemetry Processor
RCO	Range Control Officer
RCVR	Receiver
RF	Radio Frequency
RS	Radio Standard
RSO	Range Safety Officer
Rx	Receive
TTL	Transistor–Transistor Logic
Tx	Transmit
VDA	Video Distribution Amplifier
VHM	Vehicle Health Monitor
VMC	Vehicle Mission Computer

## **INTRODUCTION**

The X-33 advanced technology demonstrator launch vehicle is a 50-percent scaled model of the reusable launch vehicle proposed by Lockheed Martin Skunk Works, Palmdale, California. The vehicle will autonomously follow a suborbital flight profile, reenter the atmosphere, and descend for a horizontal landing. When flying an autonomous vehicle at hypersonic speeds and over populated areas, minimizing the risk to public safety is imperative. This reduction in risk can only be achieved with an acceptable degree of confidence by validating the reliability and accuracy of the radar tracking system, telemetered downlink, uplink and flight termination systems (FTS) at every stage of the mission. The X-33 project range requirement for mission safety and success from the time of launch through landing could not be accomplished using the existing resources available at NASA Dryden Flight Research Center (DFRC), Edwards, California. The DFRC was challenged to develop an extended range capability that could track and communicate with the vehicle beyond the airspace at Edwards Air Force Base (EAFB), California,

out to either the landing site in Michael Army Airfield, Utah, or in Malstrom Air Force Base, Montana. The technical approach used to address this challenge will be comprised of systematically developing the range system in six incremental phases of integration and test, beginning at the Integration and Test Facility (ITF) at NASA DFRC and ending with a complete end-to-end check of all range systems *in situ*. This paper describes the simulation models developed during the first phase of integration. Integration of these models to mission hardware during the subsequent phases is also discussed. Use of trade names or names of manufacturers in this document does not constitute an official endorsement of such products or manufacturers, either expressed or implied, by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration.

### **X-33 EXTENDED RANGE SIMULATION OVERVIEW**

All flight and mission critical vehicle subsystem components, such as the vehicle health monitor, mission computers, flight controls, and traffic on the 1553 bus, are modeled in software to provide an initial assessment of the expected performance of that system. Because the range system will be the sole communications link between the Operations Control Center (OCC) and the vehicle, this system is also deemed mission critical. As such, failure of any component that could affect communication links may endanger the mission and compromise public safety, which is completely unacceptable. It became abundantly clear that as a first step toward the integration and test of the range system, a simulation of the entire range system needed to be developed to provide an initial evaluation.

Figure 1 shows the extended range coverage area. Each circle provides radar coverage for an approximate area of 235 nautical miles.




Figure 1. Extended range coverage area.

## Range Simulation

The purpose of the range simulation is to compute the total radio frequency (RF) link margins at each stage of the flight trajectory and to provide intermediate data, such as plasma attenuation, space loss, and ground-to-vehicle and vehicle-to-ground look angles. The simulation has the flexibility of performing both real-time hardware-in-the-loop (HIL) or stand alone operations. It also has the freedom to vary link parameters to optimize the analysis. A specification for bit error rate for digital communications determines the required signal-to-noise ratio to accurately reproduce the transmitted data. A pad or margin above this required signal-to-noise ratio is then used to ensure that a good RF link is maintained between the vehicle and the OCC at all times. In the same manner, a margin above the required signal-to-noise ratio for analog transmission is also specified.

The simulation model determines the vehicle position and attitude and passes this data on to the radar model at an update rate of once every 20 seconds. The Dynamic Ground Station Analysis (DGSA) tool will receive the same data along with supplementary vehicle information at an update rate of once every second. As the vehicle approaches the range tracking limits of the ground radar site, responsibility to track the vehicle is handed over to the next radar site. Handovers between ground sites for the FTS and uplink systems are accomplished by setting maximum attenuation levels for the current site and after a 1-second delay, setting DGSA calculated attenuations for the new site. This test mimics in software, the delays involved in powering down one ground transmitter while bringing up another. It also assists in optimizing ground site handovers during the actual mission.

The DGSA model, which is at the heart of the range simulation system, performs a timepoint-by-timepoint dynamic link margin analysis for spacecraft-to-ground and ground-to-spacecraft RF links. The three links supported are the flight termination, command uplink, and telemetry downlink. A simulation model of the X-33 vehicle for a preprogrammed flight trajectory provides the vehicle position coordinates and look angles in azimuth and elevation for every point in space for a delta time of 1 second. A separate antenna radiation pattern computes the gain of the electromagnetic field, in magnitude and phase, for all 360° of azimuth angles and spanning 180° in elevation. This computation is accomplished by the phasor addition of the electromagnetic fields emanating from the top and bottom antennas. The link margins for the ground-to-vehicle path are defined as the difference between the calculated signal-to-noise ratio in the intermediate frequency (IF) bandwidth to the required IF signal-to-noise ratio. For example,

$$\text{Link}_{\text{margin}} = \text{IF}_{\text{calcsnr}} - \text{IF}_{\text{reqsnr}} \quad (1)$$

The required link margins for the uplink and flight termination systems are 3 and 12 dB, respectively. A figure of merit used to determine the actual power received at the vehicle, correcting for thermal noise, is a ratio of the antenna gain (G) divided by thermal noise (T). The Effective Isotropic Radiation Power (EIRP) can be determined by subtracting any passive losses between the transmitter and antenna from the transmitted power. Antenna gain and pointing loss associated with boresite antenna gain must also be taken into account.

$$\text{EIRP} = P_t - L_{\text{pass}} - L_{\text{point}} + G_{\text{gnd}} \quad (2)$$



where  $P_t$  is the power transmitted by the ground antenna;  $L_{pass}$  are passive losses in the cable and through connectors;  $L_{point}$  is the pointing loss associated with directing the antenna; and  $G_{gnd}$  is the gain of the antenna taking into account the effective area, aperture efficiency, and wavelength.

Losses or attenuation factors that arise during the transmission of an electromagnetic wave through the atmosphere are referred to as channel losses. These losses can be comprised of free space, atmospheric, rain, polarization, and plasma losses. The power incident at the vehicle antenna is the cumulative channel loss subtracted from the EIRP.

$$P_{rec} = EIRP - L_{atmos} - L_{rain} - L_{pol} - L_{plasma} - L_{space} \quad (3)$$

where  $P_{rec}$  is the power received at the vehicle antenna; and  $L_{atmos}$  are atmospheric losses, which in the absence of any condensation or dust particles is caused by oxygen and water vapor in the atmosphere. Attenuation because of rain,  $L_{rain}$ , and polarization loss,  $L_{pol}$ , are assumed to be negligible at this frequency. By far, the greatest uncertainty as far as channel losses are concerned arises from the predictions for the attenuation of electromagnetic waves due to the effects of plasma,  $L_{plasma}$ , during reentry. Plasma analysis is still in the evolutionary stage and is being conducted by NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland. At this point, however, all indications are that affects at ultrahigh frequencies (UHF) will be for a minimal amount of time. Reference 1 provides further details regarding X-33 plasma analysis. The free space dispersion loss,  $L_{space}$ , is based on the slant range to the vehicle and assumes clear sky conditions.

The vehicle G/T is arrived at by subtracting the passive losses,  $L_{pass/veh}$ , between the vehicle antenna to the uplink receiver from the gain of the antenna,  $G_{veh}$ . The system noise density,  $N_{sys}$ , corrected for thermal noise by way of Boltzman constant K is also taken into consideration.

$$G/T = G_{veh} - L_{pass/veh} - (N_{sys} - K) \quad (4)$$

With the signal-to-noise ratio in the intermediate frequency bandwidth,  $IF_{bw}$ , the calculated signal-to-noise ratio,  $IF_{snrcalc}$ , is given by

$$IF_{snrcalc} = P_{rec} + G/T - 10 \cdot \log_{10}(IF_{bw}) \quad (5)$$

## DYNAMIC GROUND STATION ANALYSIS

Figure 2 shows a typical output from DGSA for the command uplink during a simulated flight to Malmstrom AFB. Note the short periods where the limiting margin drops to zero that occur at time 2:24 and approximately 5 minutes into the flight. These periods imply that there is a complete black-out of the RF signal. Further investigation into these periods reveals that the primary cause of attenuation is an anomaly in the plasma attenuation calculations. This anomaly will be corrected in the next update to the algorithm.




Figure 2. Dynamic Ground Station Analysis output.

### Radar Model

The radar model is accessed by DGSA once each second to determine which ground site is tracking the vehicle. Geodetic coordinates for latitude, longitude, and spheroid height of the vehicle during its trajectory are received from the X-33 flight simulation program. Radar data from all of the ground sites are sent to the Data Enhancement System (DES) in position information-processing system format, where the nominal trajectory is adjusted to match the current tracking data. The radar simulation program computes the geometric look angles in azimuth and elevation from up to 10 radar sites to the target vehicle. When the range value at any one of these radar sites drops below 235 nautical miles, a range flag is set for that radar. Similarly, when the elevation value rises above 2.5°, an elevation flag is set. With both flags set, the vehicle is within the program-specified tracking limits, and an on track flag is set for that particular radar. Because more than one radar may be on the target at the same time, the radar on track and shortest range to the target is selected as prime and a selection flag is set. The DES then sends best source-adjusted radar data to all of the ground sites.

### Integration Phases

Phase 1 of range integration is based entirely on executing all software models to simulate the flight parameters and to verify that the RF links are within the budgets allocated. This simulation reduces the

risk of damage to any hardware during the later phases and will require the implementation of range software to model vehicle antenna radiation patterns. The radar model will provide range to the vehicle for radar tracking purposes along with azimuth and elevation angles. Simulations using the DGSA model are then run for a complete link analysis of each of the three systems. The DGSA model includes the ability to modify some of the ground station parameters, such as antenna gains, aperture, and polarization, providing the flexibility of running *what if* scenarios for a better understanding of how significant the affect of these parameters are on the overall analysis.

Phase 2 will provide the ability to simulate range system operations using actual flight hardware. This operation is accomplished by connecting X-33 flight hardware for the telemetry, uplink, and flight termination systems and by integrating them with the software simulation developed in phase 1. During this time, the DGSA tool controls the power levels at the telemetry, FTS, and uplink receivers based on its internal computations. This tool also performs handoffs to the prescribed ground sites, depending on the vehicle location.

In phase 3, once the RF transmission is validated through a hard link, the next step is to duplicate the same tests by transmitting through space for a more realistic determination of the levels and affects of any electromagnetic interference that may exist. Vehicle antennas are connected to the ITF to transmit and receive from the Aeronautical Test Facility 1 and the DFRC FTS. Figure 3 shows how these systems will be interconnected during this phase.




Figure 3. Phase 3 flight hardware and local range.

Phase 4 will bring all the range systems to be deployed at the remote sites to DFRC for an initial system checkout. The primary goal is to ensure that the systems to be located at each remote site are integrated together and can transfer data from system to system before deployment.

Phase 5 will deploy the range systems to support a flight to Malmstrom AFB. The remote systems checked out during phase 4 will be deployed to Mountain Home, Idaho, for over flight and to Malmstrom AFB for landing. The ER-2 flight testbed will be used to check the functionality of the communication systems onboard and the communication links to all of the ground systems.

Phase 6 will deploy range systems to support a flight to Dugway Proving Ground, Utah. Once again, the ER-2 flight testbed will be used to validate the entire range systems operation with each of the ground stations. Communication links between the ground station and the vehicle during the flight will be verified, and a better assessment can be made of the site handovers and where they occur.

### Integration Test Facility Range Simulation Hardware

Although both top and bottom communication antennas on the vehicle are used for simultaneous RF transmission and reception, only one transmitter will be active at any time. For the purpose of integration in the laboratory only, the top antenna has been designated to the S-band transmit path. The transmitter outputs 10 watts or 40 dBm of average power, which for redundancy is divided equally between the two ports at the hybrid coupler. Figure 4 shows the vehicle communications subsystem architecture which includes the RF combiner unit.





Figure 4. Communications subsystem architecture.

Figure 5 shows an example to illustrate the hardware interconnections for the S-band transmit section. After attenuating the transmitted signal by 60 dB, it is separated into three paths that lead into telemetry receivers resembling three simulated ground sites. Each site is distinguished by the RF power input to the receivers, which in turn controls the attenuation level settings computed by DGSA. The amount of

attenuation must be sufficient to completely swamp out all the RF power in order to simulate a complete dropout. At the same time, care must be taken not to saturate the receivers. A programmable telemetry processor takes in derandomized non-return-to-zero-level (NRZ-L) telemetry data from the three pseudosites and determines which of the three contain the most coherent data to be passed on to the Launch and Mission Control Monitoring System (LMCMS). A secondary output from the best source selector is fed into the Range Safety Officer's (RSO) station, where data are decommutated. In addition, the telemetered vehicle parameters are displayed on one of the RSO monitors.\*



980430

Figure 5. X-33 Integration and Test Facility S-band simulation hardware design.

The bottom antenna port is terminated with a 50-ohm load for the transmit path. This port also connects to receivers and the vehicle mission computer for the uplink path. The uplink data stream is packaged inside the telemetry and range interface processor where the secondary L-band flight termination command and differential GPS corrections are interlaced with the uplink command. Once again, the DGSA model computes the signal power levels expected at the vehicle taking into consideration the position of the vehicle and all the channel losses. A signal generator output power level is then attenuated to this computed value. In addition, the command uplink data stream is frequency modulated onto the uplink carrier. The flight termination command is initiated from the master control panel at the RSO station or from the remote control panel at one of the ground sites. The termination command is then relayed to the LMCMS for secondary L-band transmission. The termination command in the form of open and ground discretes are then tone encoded. As with the command uplink channel, the DGSA model computes the

\*See Darryl Burkes' paper titled "X-33 Telemetry Best Source Selection, Processing, Display, and Simulation Model Comparison," (also available in these proceedings). This paper provides a detailed discussion of the best source selection and decommutated telemetry display.

signal power levels expected at the vehicle, taking into consideration the position of the vehicle and the channel losses. A signal generator output power level is then attenuated to this computed value, and the flight termination tones are frequency modulated onto its carrier. The second output from the RF splitter is used to monitor the received RF termination command along with its decoded tones for confirmation that the tones were correctly sent and received.

### **Range Safety Officer's Station**

The RSO station located in the ITF will be the first of five RSO stations built for the X-33 program. This station will be used to provide training for range control and range safety personnel. The RSO station consists of a stand-alone processing system that displays radar and telemetry data on an instantaneous impact prediction (IIP) system that will be used for the evaluation of X-33 flights. The station includes a system to decommutate the telemetry data, to display critical vehicle parameters, and to output global positioning system (GPS) as well as inertial navigation system (INS) parameters over ethernet to the IIP systems. The IIP system calculates the debris pattern for the vehicle, based upon its location and trajectory. These results will be used to determine suitable locations for a safe flight termination. The RSO station also includes the Test and Evaluation Command and Control System which is used by the Range Control Officer to display Federal Aviation Administration data.

### **CONCLUSION**

The X-33 range requirements to provide continuous communications between the vehicle and ground stations will be verified by using an innovative approach to provide real-time simulations, analysis, and tests. The risk to public safety will have been greatly reduced by this analysis, along with results obtained from the flight testbed missions. The extended range will support X-33 flights with a great confidence of mission success.

### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

Much of the specialized information presented was provided by members of the Extended Test Range Alliance, Darryl Burkes, Rey Garza, Debra Randall, and Dale Mackall, to whom I wish to extend considerable thanks. I would also like to thank David Wampler of NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland, for his contributions on Dynamic Ground Station Analysis. The work described in this paper was NASA supported through cooperative agreement NCC8-115 with Lockheed Martin Skunk Works, Palmdale, California.

### **REFERENCES**

1. Mackall, Dale, Sakahara, Robert, Kremer, Steve, "The Extended Flight Test Range," NASA/TM-1998-206557, 1998.

<b>REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE</b>			Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188	
Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1 hour per response, including the time for reviewing instructions, searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding this burden estimate or any other aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to Washington Headquarters Services, Directorate for Information Operations and Reports, 1215 Jefferson Davis Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington, VA 22202-4302, and to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0704-0188), Washington, DC 20503.				
<b>1. AGENCY USE ONLY (Leave blank)</b>		<b>2. REPORT DATE</b> October 1998	<b>3. REPORT TYPE AND DATES COVERED</b> Technical Memorandum	
<b>4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE</b>  X-33 Integrated Test Facility Extended Range Simulation			<b>5. FUNDING NUMBERS</b>  WU 242-33-02-00-23-00-TA9	
<b>6. AUTHOR(S)</b>  Ashley Sharma				
<b>7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  NASA Dryden Flight Research Center P.O. Box 273 Edwards, California 93523-0273			<b>8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER</b>  H-2273	
<b>9. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES)</b>  National Aeronautics and Space Administration Washington, DC 20546-0001			<b>10. SPONSORING/MONITORING AGENCY REPORT NUMBER</b>  NASA/TM-1998-206564	
<b>11. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTES</b>  Presented at the 34th International Telemetry Conference, San Diego, California, October 26–29, 1998.				
<b>12a. DISTRIBUTION/AVAILABILITY STATEMENT</b>  Unclassified—Unlimited Subject Category 61			<b>12b. DISTRIBUTION CODE</b>	
<b>13. ABSTRACT (Maximum 200 words)</b>  In support of the X-33 single-stage-to-orbit program, NASA Dryden Flight Research Center was selected to provide continuous range communications of the X-33 vehicle from launch at Edwards Air Force Base, California, through landing at Malmstrom Air Force Base, Montana, or at Michael Army Air Field, Utah. An extensive real-time range simulation capability is being developed to ensure successful communications with the autonomous X-33 vehicle. This paper provides an overview of the various levels of simulation, integration, and test being developed to support the X-33 extended range subsystems. These subsystems include the flight termination system, L-band command uplink subsystem, and S-band telemetry downlink subsystem.				
<b>14. SUBJECT TERMS</b>  Dynamic Ground Station Analysis, Integration and Test Facility, Link Margin, Plasma Attenuation, Range Simulation, X-33 Experimental Aircraft			<b>15. NUMBER OF PAGES</b> 16	
			<b>16. PRICE CODE</b> A03	
<b>17. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF REPORT</b> Unclassified	<b>18. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE</b> Unclassified	<b>19. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF ABSTRACT</b> Unclassified	<b>20. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT</b> Unlimited	